	Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)		-
Interview Summary	10/089,615		JARVIS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Brian P Mruk		1751	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Brian P Mruk</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Alan Bornstein</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Agreement was reached between the examiner and the attorney to cancel the limitation recited in part d</u>) of claim 5, since a broader recitation of this limitation is already included in part c) of claim 5. Accordingly, the limitation recited in part d) of claim 5 was formulated into a new dependent claim 12.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the am			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		$\alpha \cdot \alpha \cdot$	m - h	J
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	Muk Sp	104